## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTER CAPITAL CORPORATION

Plaintiff \* Case No. JFM 03 CV 400

v. \*

STEVEN TIMCHULA, CHARLES THOMAS AND THE ESTATE OF JAMES BLAKE

\*

Defendants.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE AND TO ALTER SCHEDULING ORDER

Defendant, Steven Timchula and Charles Thomas, by Stephen J. Kleeman, their undersigned attorney, move to extend the discovery deadline and to alter the Scheduling Order and for cause, states as follows:

- 1. This is an action to collect a deficiency balance from the Defendants arising out of the repossession and sale of certain items of equipment.
- 2. One of the major items repossessed by the Plaintiff, has only been recently refurbished for a sale but has not yet been sold. This is a major piece of equipment and the deficiency will probably comprise the single largest claim against the Defendants. Hence, unless and until the piece of equipment is sold, the Plaintiff's claim will be undetermined and the Defendants will have no basis to determine if the sale was reasonable.
- 3. Additionally, counsel for the Defendant is unavailable for depositions on June 11, 2003 and is having difficulty obtaining a clear date in June for a lengthy deposition, due to prior commitments.
  - 4. Plaintiff has only completed its written discovery to the Defendant as of the end of

May. Defendants will have to review this material and determine if they need an expert witness. The current deadline for this is June 4, 2003. Additionally, Defendants were out of town and are just now returning to complete Plaintiff's written discovery.

5. Lastly, both Plaintiff and Defendant consent to the requested extension. Once these numbers are finalized, settlement may be possible so an extension may well avoid a trial.

WHEREFORE for all the aforegoing reasons, all parties jointly request a ninety (90) day extension of discovery and that the Scheduling Order be modified accordingly.

STEPHEN J. KLEEMAN, ESQUIRE Federal Bar # 01040 2 North Charles Street, Suite 320 Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (410) 752-1220

Attorney for Defendants Steven Timchula and Charles Thomas

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2003, a copy of the aforegoing Consent Motion to Extend Discovery and to Alter Scheduling Order was mailed first-class postage prepaid to J. Preston Turner, 29 West Susquehanna Avenue, Suite 110, Towson, MD 21204.

STEPHEN J. KLEEMAN, ESQUIRE

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CE	NTER C	APITA:	L CORI	PORAT	ION		*						
Plaintiff							*	* Case No. JFM 03 CV 400					
v.							*						
STEVEN TIMCHULA, CHARLES THOMAS AND THE ESTATE OF JAMES BLAKE  Defendants.								*					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
	Unon	<u>D</u>	<u>EADLI</u>	NE AN	D TO	<u>ALTE</u>	N TO EX	<u>DULI</u>	NG OR	<u>DER</u>		ing and to	
	-					_						ine and to	
Alter	Scheduli	ing Ord	er, good	l cause h	naving b	een sho	own, it is t	his	da	ayof		, 2003	
ORD	<b>DERED</b> t	y the U	United S	States D	istrict	Court 1	for the N	lorther	n Distri	ct of M	aryland	, that the	
discovery deadline be and is hereby extended to _										_, 2003;	and it	is further	
ORD	<b>DERED</b> t	hat the	Schedul	ling Ord	ler is he	reby ar	mended a	s follov	WS:				
			uttal Rui e expert	` '	(2)								
		. , . ,	suppler nd respo		on of								
		very De ssion of	eadline; f status 1	report									
	Reque	ests for	admissio	ons									
	Dispos	sitive p	retrial m	notions (	deadline	9						_	

DATE

J. Frederick Motz, United States Disrtict Court Judge